1 2

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

ANTHONY COPLEY,	
Plaintiff,	Case No. 2:15-cv-00702-RFB-CWH
vs.	ORDER
CHARLES RATCLIFF,	
Defendant.	) ) )

Presently before the court is Plaintiff Anthony Copley's Request for Production of Documents (ECF No. 14), filed on August 3, 2016. Also before the court is Defendant's Motion to Strike Plaintiff's Documents (ECF No. 15), filed on August 19, 2016, which relates to Plaintiff's request for production of documents. Plaintiff filed a response (ECF No. 16) to Defendant's motion to strike on August 30, 2016.

Plaintiff's request for production of documents (ECF No. 14), which the Clerk of the Court docketed as a single document, actually consists of three documents which should have been docketed separately: (1) a request for production of documents, (2) an "Answer to Defendant's Motion," and (3) a motion for appointment of counsel. The court will address each of these documents separately.

First, regarding the request for production of documents, the court will grant Defendant's motion to strike this document from the court's docket, as Local Rule 26-8 provides that "[u]nless the court orders otherwise, written discovery, including discovery requests, discovery responses, deposition notices, and deposition transcripts must not be filed with the court." To the extent Plaintiff requests that the court construe this request as a motion to compel, *see* ECF No. 16 at 2, Plaintiff's request is denied without prejudice for him to file a motion to compel in

2
 3
 4

accordance with the court's local rules. Plaintiff is advised that although the court will liberally construe his filings given that he is not represented by an attorney, he nevertheless is required to follow the same rules of procedure that govern other litigants. *See Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 54 (9th Cir. 1995).

Second, regarding Plaintiff's "Answer to Defendant's Motion," a review of the court's docket indicates that Defendant has not filed any motions in this case, and it is unclear exactly what relief, if any, Plaintiff seeks by filing the "Answer to Defendant's Motion." The court therefore will order the Clerk of Court to strike this document as requested by Defendant. To the extent Plaintiff seeks relief by way of this document, Plaintiff is advised to file a motion or stipulation in accordance with the court's local rules.

Third, regarding Plantiff's motion for appointment of counsel, the court finds that this motion should have been docketed separately, thereby triggering response and reply deadlines in the court's electronic filing system. The court therefore will order the Clerk of Court to detach and file Plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel as a separate motion. If Defendant wishes to file a substantive response to this motion, he must do so within 17 days from the date it is filed by the Clerk of Court as a separate motion.

Additionally, the court notes that although Defendant's answer was filed on May 10, 2016, making the parties' proposed discovery plan and scheduling order due June 9, 2016, the parties have not yet filed a proposed discovery plan and scheduling order. The court therefore will order the parties to meet and confer and to file a proposed discovery plan and scheduling order.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Clerk of Court must detach Plaintiff's (1) Answer to Defendant's Motion and (2) Motion for Appointment of Counsel from Plaintiff's Request for Production of Documents (ECF No. 14) and file them as separate documents in the court's electronic filing system.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant's Motion to Strike Plaintiff's Documents (ECF No. 15) is GRANTED in part and DENIED in part. It is granted to the extent that the Clerk of Court must strike Plaintiff's Request for Production of Documents (ECF No. 14) and Plaintiff's Answer to Defendant's Motion (ECF No. to be determined). It is denied with respect to Plaintiff's

## Case 2:15-cv-00702-RFB-CWH Document 19 Filed 09/07/16 Page 3 of 3

motion for appointment of counsel.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that responses to Plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel (ECF No. to be determined) are due 17 days from the date the Clerk of Court files the motion for appointment of counsel as a separate motion.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties must meet and confer and file a proposed discovery plan and scheduling order by October 5, 2016.

DATED: September 7, 2016

United States Magistrate Judge